

DISSEMINATING OBSCENE MATERIAL TO MINORS UNDER THE AGE OF SIXTEEN. G.S. 14-190.7. FELONY.

The defendant has been charged with disseminating obscene material to a minor under the age of sixteen.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove three things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant was eighteen years of age or older at the time of the acts alleged.

Second, that the defendant knowingly disseminated material which he knew or reasonably should have known to be obscene, by (*describe act of dissemination*). Material is obscene when judged with reference to ordinary adults:

^aThe average person applying contemporary community standards would find that the material depicts or describes sexual conduct in a patently offensive way (*define sexual conduct pertinent to the case as set out by the statute*).¹ Material is patently offensive when, taken as a whole, it affronts contemporary community standards relating to the description or representation of sexual matters.

^bAnd, the average person applying contemporary community standards relating to the depiction or description of sexual matters would find that the material taken as a whole appeals to the prurient interest in sex. A prurient interest is an

¹G.S. 14-190.1(c) defines "sexual conduct" as "(1) vaginal, anal or oral intercourse, whether actual or simulated, normal or perverted; or (2) masturbation, excretory functions, or lewd exhibition of uncovered genitals; or (3) an act or condition that depicts torture, physical restraint by being fettered or bound, or flagellation of or by a nude person or a person clad in undergarments or in revealing or bizarre costume."

DISSEMINATING OBSCENE MATERIAL TO MINORS UNDER THE AGE OF
SIXTEEN. G.S. 14-190.7. FELONY. (Continued.)

unhealthy, abnormal, lascivious, shameful or morbid sexual
interest.

Contemporary community standards must be interpreted as the
current standards here in your community.² Both of these tests
of obscenity that I have related to you must be considered and
judged with reference to the average adult in this community,
rather than the most tolerant or the most prudish.

The obscene character of the materials, if any, may be
determined by you, based on the viewing of the alleged obscene
material. In addition to considering all of the evidence
presented, you are entitled to draw on your understanding and
knowledge of the views of the average adult person in this
community and of the tolerance of the average adult person in
this community in making the required determinations which are
necessary for the resolution of this case.

In determining whether the material is obscene, you should
consider the material as a whole and not part by part. You may
also consider whether the predominant theme and purpose of the
material, when viewed as a whole and not part by part, is an
appeal to the prurient interest of the average adult person in
your community.

²See *S. v. Mayes*, 323 N.C. 159 (1988), holding that the trial judge need
not specify the geographic limits of the community, nor must the jury reach a
consensus as to the community's boundaries.

DISSEMINATING OBSCENE MATERIAL TO MINORS UNDER THE AGE OF
SIXTEEN. G.S. 14-190.7. FELONY. (Continued.)

And, applying the reasonable person standard, you find that the material taken as a whole lacks serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value.³

And Third, that the material was disseminated to a person who had not yet reached his sixteenth birthday.

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date the defendant was eighteen years of age or older, and that the defendant knowingly disseminated material which he knew or reasonably should have known to be obscene, and which was in fact obscene, to a minor under the age of sixteen, it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things, it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty.

³Sections a, b, and c of the second element conform with the three-prong test for obscenity in Miller v. California, 413 U.S. 15 (1973), as modified by Smith v. U.S., 431 U.S. 291 (1977) and Pope v. Illinois, 107 S.Ct. 1918 (1987).

